

Approved October 6, 2010

FAEC

September 29, 2010

ASB 230

Members Present: President Jim Morley, Jill Weiss, Jeremy Teigen, Sam Mustafa, Eric Haye, Max Goldberg, Elaine Risch, Marcia Sexton (recorder)

Members Absent: Alex Olbrecht, Ruma Sen

Minutes of the 9/22 FAEC approved

1. Discussion and analysis of the 9/22 Faculty Assembly

Members present participated in a general discussion of the 9/22 Faculty Assembly which included the topics of 1) technical difficulties-lighting, microphones, and clicker questions, and 2) presentation of items for voting could have gone smoother with presenting them one at a time for discussion and vote rather than all at once. Members also discussed the importance and purpose of meeting rules and how to implement them. Councilors Weiss, Mustafa, and Teigen provided suggestions for the next Faculty Assembly which included having a technician on site during the meeting, wireless microphones for the Assembly, microphones on the tables for Executive Council members, a speaker's timer, and someone managing the clickers. It was also briefly discussed that the lack of hand votes could be an issue in the event the clickers do not work.

2. Discussion of the approval of the new rules of order

Councilor Weiss presented the rules of order with the amendments from the 9/22 Faculty Assembly including raising hands/standing up to be recognized and introductions without acronyms. Members participated in discussion of how the amendments will impact the meeting rules. Councilor Weiss will send out the amended rules for Council review.

3. Continued deliberation on prioritizing the 12 agenda items

There was brief discussion on the agenda items which will be the first item discussed at the 10/6 Council meeting.

4. FAEC discussion prior to meeting with Provost Barnett

In preparation for meeting with Provost Barnett, members discussed two of the three items: Dean's evaluations and course release equity. Questions were raised including what procedures are there for assigning/prioritizing reassigned time and what incentives are there to consolidate convening groups?

5. Meeting with Provost Barnett

A. New issues regarding SBR and convener support

There were no new issues regarding SBR and convener support to report.

B. Course release equity

Everyone present participated in a general discussion on reassigned time vs. flex time. Provost Barnett reiterated that flex time was at the discretion of the dean based on consultation with the convener and has requested what has been approved as flex time by the deans. The Provost also

Approved October 6, 2010

informed Council that some things agreed to under CEP are not being done and that Middle States is questioning the course enhancement component (experiential learning) in terms of consistent rigor. An audit of how flex is being used will be completed by the Provost's Office. Provost Barnett also answered questions from members regarding the spreadsheet of reassigned time.

C. Deans: faculty involvement in Reappointment, evaluation, hiring
Dean evaluation surveys have been sent to TAS, CA, and SSHS based on the contract cycle. Survey results are anonymous and held off-site for compilation. Provost Barnett reminded members of Council that the deans are evaluated based on the dean's job description. Current practice is for deans to sign a three year contract with the option of three year reappointment.

6. Meeting Points

Meeting points will be sent to President Morley for review before being emailed to faculty.

Submitted by M. Sexton, September 30, 2010