GE nomenclature: Recently we were reminded that we should be using the term “Arch program” instead of GE. (Would that change GECCo’s name (and charge)?) Some prefer “GE” because it is more universally understood. It was pointed out that other colleges have started using terms other than GE as well. We just need clarification and we will move forward with what the faculty want. Stefan requested that we start a discussion on the provost’s discussion board: Sarah will email Stefan to start a discussion. We also may need to discuss it at FA.

All-College Goals: We agree that the new GE goals and objectives should be our all college goals.

GE scheduling: As of right now the Deans are in charge of scheduling. Would someone have a “birds eye view of the GE”? We can help with suggestions but GECCo has no authority. We also would like to be kept in the loop when major decisions are being made. Our role, if any, will need to be added to the GECCo manual. It was also brought up: Is GECCo the “Convening group” of Gen Ed? Do we have the same responsibilities of a convening group? Do we have a dean? We need answers to these questions.

Criteria document – We do not want to leave in the language about double counting. The first line we will leave in, this is a GECCo issue – A course CANNOT be in two categories within GE. The second and third lines seem to be an ARC decision – we will hand the decision about these issues over to ARC.

Integrity of GECCo – Summary of procedure to review courses would help others see our process and that it is rigorous.

WC (old GE) and CRWT (new GE) reports: New template seems to be working well, may need some tweaks. How does CLT results get added? And When should it occur? CLA+ may be useful if the specific questions can be looked at – Sarah will look into if this is possible.